# A new deep-sea species of *Chloeia* (Polychaeta: Amphinomidae) from southern Brazil

# RÔMULO BARROSO AND PAULO CESAR PAIVA

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Departamento de Zoologia, IB, CCS, Bl.A, SS 108, Ilha do Fundão, 21941-590, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

A new species of Chloeia (Annelida: Amphinomidae) is described from deep water (750–1045 m) off southern Brazil. Chloeia kudenovi sp. nov. differ from previously described species by the extremely elongated neuropodial cirri of the second chaetiger, number and position of noto- and neuroaciculae and lack of body pigmentation. This study provides additional data on the morphological diversity of the genus.

Keywords: Polychaeta, Amphinomidae, Chloeia, deep sea, Brazil, Rio de Janeiro

Submitted 6 February 2009; accepted 5 August 2010; first published online 11 November 2010

# INTRODUCTION

Chloeia was established by Lamarck (1818) to accommodate Chloeia flava described from the Indian Ocean by Pallas in 1766. The genus was morphologically characterized by having an elliptical body with bipinnate branchiae. This kind of branchiae is shared with the monotypic genera Bathychloeia Horst, 1912 and Chloenopsis Fauchald, 1977. Adults of Chloeia are colourful and have shades of violet, green and yellow pigment impregnated mainly on the dorsum, notopodial cirri, cirrophores and caruncle. Dorsal pigmentation patterns appear to be important species-specific characters, although the maintenance of these patterns in preserved specimens has been questioned (Monro, 1933). Other important characters are chaetae, development of parapodial cirri, eyes, caruncle, shape of chaetiger 1, parapodia of mid-body chaetigers, types of noto- and neurochaetae, number and position of noto- and neuroaciculae, distribution and placement of branchiae and degree of development, and type of anal cirri (Kudenov, 1995).

Members of the genus *Chloeia* have a circumtropical distribution, with many more species in Indian and Pacific than Atlantic waters. Of the 20 valid species of *Chloeia* recognized by Hartman (1959), only *Chloeia viridis* Schmarda, 1961 from Jamaica was originally described from the Atlantic Ocean. Hartman (1959) recognized four junior synonyms of *C. viridis* including *C. pallida* Kinberg 1867 described from Brazil, *C. modesta* Ehlers, 1887 and *C. euglochis* Ehlers, 1887 from Florida, and *C. candida* Kinberg, 1910 from the West Indies. These synonymies should be reevaluated carefully, if possible with a molecular approach.

Moreover, besides *C. viridis* (Nonato & Luna, 1970; Gathof, 1984; Amaral & Nonato, 1994), *C. venusta* Quatrefages, 1866

(originally described from the Mediterranean Sea) is the only other species of the genus recorded to date in Atlantic waters (Fauvel, 1923; Kirkegaard, 2001). Despite the fact that most *Chloeia* species were described from shallow waters, few of those species have been referred to moderate deep-waters (e.g. *C. pinnata* Moore, 1911, California, 567 m (Kudenov, 1995); *C. venusta* Quatrefages, 1866, north-west Africa, 210 m (Kirkegaard, 2001) and *C. inermis* Quatrefages, 1866, New Zealand, 200 m (Probert & Grove, 1998)).

To date, the only phylogenetic hypothesis regarding relationships within Amphinomida was proposed by Wiklund *et al.* (2008), establishing *Chloeia* as a sister taxa of *Archinome* and suggesting the abandonment of the family Archinomidae. The morphology of the caruncle, body shape and the characteristic pigmentation patterns on the dorsum give some support to the hypothesis that *Chloeia* is closely related to *Notopygos*, the *'Chloeia-Notopygos'* complex (Kudenov, 1991), that could include *Bathychloeia* and *Archinome*.

In this paper we describe a new deep-water species (750–1045 m) of *Chloeia* from southern Brazil. The new taxon differs from other described congeners mainly by having extremely long neuropodial cirri on chaetiger 2 and lack of body pigmentation patterns.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens of *Chloeia* were collected in 3 stations (Figure 1) in the Campos Basin (southern Brazil—off Rio de Janeiro State, between  $21^{\circ}18$ 'S and  $23^{\circ}00$ 'S), during a deep-sea survey conducted by PETROBRAS (Brazilian Petroleum Company) under the scope of the project 'Campos Basin Deep-Sea Environmental Project' coordinated by CENPES/PETROBRAS. The sediment sampled with a boxcorer was separated into three vertical strata (0-2 cm, 2-5 cm and 5-10 cm), sieved in a 0.5 mm mesh and fixed in 10%



Fig. 1. Stations where specimens of Chloeia kudenovi sp. nov. were collected.

formalin. Type materials were deposited in the polychaete collection of the Zoology Department at the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (IBUFRJ), and in the Museu de História Natural of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas (ZUEC: POL).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SYSTEMATICS Family AMPHINOMIDAE Lamarck, 1818 Genus *Chloeia* Lamarck, 1818 *Chloeia kudenovi* sp. nov. (Figures 1 & 2)

## MATERIAL EXAMINED

Holotype: (IBUFRJ: 634) ovigerous female, 20 mm long, 6 mm wide (without chaetae), 24 chaetigers; 20 November 2002;  $22^{\circ}19'50''S$   $40^{\circ}00'35''W$ , 775 m; paratypes: (IBUFRJ: 636, 8 specimens) 14 mm long, 5 mm wide, 22 chaetigers; 8 mm long, 2.5 mm wide, 22 chaetigers; 7 mm long, 2.7 mm wide, 20 chaetigers; 6.7 mm long, 2.2 mm wide, 19 chaetigers; 11 mm long, 3 mm wide, 24 chaetigers; 10 mm long, 3 mm wide, 22 chaetigers; 7.5 mm long, 3.1 mm wide, 21 chaetigers; 6 mm long, 2.3 mm wide, 17 chaetigers; 20 November 2002,  $22^{\circ}40'57''S$   $40^{\circ}16'30''W$ , 1045 m. (ZUEC: POL21, 1



Fig. 2. Chloeia kudenovi sp. nov. (A) Dorsal view paratype (ZUEC: POL21); (B) anterior region in dorsal view; (C) anterior region in ventral view; (D) anterior parapodium in posterior view.



**Fig. 3.** (A–F) Bifurcate notochaetae; (G) bifurcate harpoon notochaetae; (H, I) harpoon notochaetae; (J) spinose notochaetae; (K, L) notoacicula; (M–T) bifurcate neurochaetae; (U, V) neuroaciculae.

specimen) 9 mm long, 3 mm wide, 21 chaetigers; 20 November 2002,  $22^{\circ}10'27''S$   $39^{\circ}54'46''W$ , 745 m.

# DESCRIPTION OF THE HOLOTYPE

The holotype lacks dorsal pigmentation pattern. Anterior lobe of prostomium rounded. Posterior lobe of prostomium with two lateral cirriform antennae which are smaller than the palps. Two pairs of eyes the anterior pair being larger. Median antennae arising from anterior margin of caruncle are longer than lateral antennae and palps. Palps slender, cirriform. Palps fused, converging mid-ventrally into a longitudinal groove leading to mouth. Caruncle extends posteriorly to end of chaetiger 3 fused to dorsum on chaetigers 1-2 and free thereafter (Figure 2A, B). Mouth located between palps and posterior lip formed by chaetiger 2 (Figure 2C). Parapodia well developed with widely separated rami in all chaetigers (Figure 2D).

Notopodial chaetae of five types: (1) bifurcate chaetae (Figure  $_{3}A-F$ ); (2) bifurcate harpoon chaetae with denticulations offset from small prong (Figure  $_{3}G$ ) in all fascicles posterior to first third of body; (3) harpoon notochaetae (Figure  $_{3}H$ , I); (4) spinose notochaetae (Figure  $_{3}J$ ), 8-12 per notopodial fascicle, arrayed in a row in superior region of fascicles; and (5) spinose notoaciculae (Figure  $_{3}K$ , L),  $_{3}-4$  per fascicle, arrayed in front of notopodiol cirrus. Neurochaetae longer than notochaetae and arrayed in denser tufts, being of two types: (1) bifurcate chaetae (Figure  $_{3}M-T$ ); and (2) spinose neuroaciculae, numbering  $_{10-12}$  per fascicle, arrayed in a row along the most ventral region of fascicle (Figure  $_{3}U$ , V).

Parapodial cirri present in all chaetigers. Chaetigers 1-3 include branchial, notopodial and neuropodial cirri. Branchial cirri are lacking cirrophores. Notopodial cirri cirriform with cirrophores. The cirrostyle slender is about  $3 \times$  longer than the cirrophores. Neuropodial cirrophores are smaller than notopodial ones. Notopodial and neuropodial cirri of similar size throughout the body, except for neuropodial cirri of the 2nd chaetiger, which are three times longer than dorsal (Figure 2A-C).

Branchiae are bipinnate from chaetiger 4 to the end of body, with 8-12 alternating branches arising from the primary axis each terminating in smaller alternating terminal filaments. Branchiae best developed in mid-chaetigers, decreasing in size in posterior chaetigers (Figure 2A, D).

Pygidium is terminal opening between a pair of thick, digitiform anal cirri (Figure 2A).

#### REMARKS

Regarding the recognition of valid species of *Chloeia*, three studies have presented a list based on literature surveys (Horst, 1910; Hartman, 1959; Baird, 1968), with, respectively, 13, 11 and 20 valid species.

The most referred and better described species are presented in Table 1, with the main morphological characters, current distribution and references. Some of these species are superficially described. Information regarding number and position of aciculae as well as shape and distribution of chaetae along the body is not given clearly in many descriptions.

However, *Chloeia kudenovi* and *C. violacea* differ from all other known species of the genus by the length of the neuropodial cirrus on the second chaetiger. *Chloeia kudenovi* differs from *C. violacea* in lacking a specific pattern of dorsal pigmentation, having shorter median and lateral antennae (not reaching the end of the caruncle), and having both noto- and neuropodial spines.

Furthermore, *C. violacea* was described from the Malay Archipelago (Indo-Pacific Ocean) in shallower depths. Regarding body pigmentation, *C. kudenovi* sp. nov. is similar to *C. inermis* Quatrefages, 1866, *C. pinnata* Moore, 1911, *C. entypa* Chamberlin, 1919 and *C. inermis* Quatrefages, 1866 in lacking pigmentation, but differs from these species in having a long neuropodial cirri on chaetiger 2.

This is the second species of *Chloeia* that has been reported from Brazilian waters and the third from the Atlantic Ocean. Until now, only *Chloeia viridis* (described from Jamaica) was previously reported for the Brazilian coast (Nonato & Luna, 1970; Amaral & Nonato, 1994) and *C. venusta* from the western South Atlantic (Kirkegaard, 2001). The low diversity of the genus in the Atlantic Ocean when compared to Indian-Pacific waters could be explained by the restricted distribution of coral reefs in this ocean, an environment which usually bears a great diversity and prevalence of *Chloeia* species.

# Feeding

Large amounts of foraminifera were found in the digestive tract of two specimens.

# Reproduction

One collected specimen (holotype) presented oocytes in its coelomic cavity, with diameter ranging between 0.60 and 0.95  $\mu$ m (X = 75.15; SD = 10.93; N = 30).

Chloeia species	Number of chaetigers	1st branchiate chaetiger	Notopodial chaetae	Neuropodial chaetae	Pigmentation pattern on dorsum	Distribution	References
<i>C. flava</i> (Pallas, 1766)	32	4	Bifurcate serrated	Bifurcate smooth	A rounded purple spot on each chaetiger	Tropical Indo-Pacific	McIntosh (1885); Horst (1912); Day (1967)
<i>C. viridis</i> Schmarda, 1861	34	4	Bifurcate smooth; serrated in long limbs at outer side	Bifurcate smooth	One narrow purple strip	Tropical Atlantic	Gathof (1984); Amaral & Nonato (1994)
C. <i>fusca</i> McIntosh, 1885	23 (18 mm long)	5	Bifurcate smooth; posterior: bifurcate serrated at outer side	Bifurcate smooth and spine smooth	A pair of longitudinal purple strips	Indian Ocean	Horst (1912); Fauvel (1953) Day (1967)
C. inermis Ouatrefages, 1866	$30 (400 \times 10 \text{ mm})$	4	Spinous smooth; harpoon in posterior chaetigers	Bifurcate smooth	None	New Zealand	Day (1967)
C. venusta Quatrefages, 1866	27 (20 × 5 mm)	4	Spinose smooth; harpoon; bifurcate serrated at outer side	Bifurcate smooth	?	Atlantic and Mediteranean	Fauvel (1923)
C. tumida Baird, 1868	$36 (15 \times 5 \text{ cm})$	4	Harpoon, smooth spines, bifurcate	Bifurcate smooth	None	India	
<i>C. parva</i> Baird, 1868	26	4	Serrated, harpoon-shaped, with a very small pointed spur	Bifurcate smooth	A violet 'T' or 'Y' spot in each segment	Unknown	Horst (1912), Fauvel (1953)
C. pulchella Baird, 1868	32–35 (5 × 1.3 cm)	Not mentioned	Bifurcate serrated	Bifurcate 'simple'	A dark line	Reefs off the north-east coast of Australia	
C. conspicua Horst, 1910	37 (6.5 × 1.3cm)	4	Bifurcate with diminutive spur and serrated at outer side from chaetiger 6 onwards	Bifurcate smooth with diminute spur	'Violet longitudinal stripe, interrupted in the intersegmental grooves'	Malay Archipelago	Horst (1912)
C. amphora Horst, 1910	24–26 (26 × 7mm)	4	Bifurcate, serrated along its exterior border from chaetiger 7 onwards	Bifurcate smooth (1/2)	Each segment shows a violet spot like a Roman amphora, surrounded by a white band	Malay Archipelago	Horst (1912), Fauvel (1953)
C. pinnata Moore, 1911	26 (17–28) (26 × 6.5mm)	4	Anterior: bifurcate with diminutive spur; median and posterior: bifurcate with serrations and capillaries without spur	Bifurcate with diminutive spur and long limbs, capillaries	'Purple spot in front of lateral tentacles'	Southern California	Kudenov (1995)
C. violacea Horst, 1912	26	4	Bifurcate; serrated in long limbs at outer side from chaetiger 9 onwards	Bifurcate smooth	Spots like an inverted 'T' in each chaetiger	Malay Archipelago	Horst (1910); Monro (1937)
<i>C. entypa</i> Chamberlin, 1919	23–24 (10 × 4.2mm)	Not mentioned	Anterior: bifurcate smooth; posterior: serrated in long limbs at outer side	Bifurcate smooth	None	Off West Mexico	Fauchald (1977), Hartman (1968)
C. natalensis Day, 1951	29 (47 × 17mm)	4	Stout serrated without spur	Bifurcate smooth	Median row of purple spots on dorsum 'amphora-like'	South Africa	
C. australis Kudenov, 1993	33 (50 × 1.2mm)	5	Smooth pointed spines with distal caps, notoacicula subdistally inflated	Distally bidentate spines, capillary-like with bidentate tips and subdistal spurs, neuroacicula distally bidentate.	Dorsal surface purple in ethanol; middorsal longitudinal stripe lacking pigment	Subantarctic region	
C. kudenovi sp. nov.	24 (20 × 6mm)	4	Spinose smooth; harpoon; bifurcate serrated at outer side	Bifurcate smooth and spinose neuroociculate	None	Southern Brazil	

423

#### ETYMOLOGY

The species is named after Jerry Kudenov for his essential contribution to the knowledge of taxonomy and biology of amphinomids.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the CENPES/PETROBRAS for providing the studied samples. Gabriel Monteiro scanned and sent essential literature, Vasily Radashevsky, Joana Zanol and three anonymous referees provided valuable comments and suggestons. R.B. is a PhD student at Museu Nacional (UFRJ) and has a scholarship from FAPERJ (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro). P.C.P. is supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico).

# REFERENCES

- Amaral A.C.Z. and Nonato E.F. (1994) Anelídeos Poliquetos da Costa Brasileira.
  5. Pisionidae, Chrysopetalidae, Amphinomidae e Euphrosinidae. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 11, 361–390.
- **Baird B.** (1968) Contributions toward a monograph of the species of anelides belonging to the Amphinomacea with a list of the known species and a description of several new species belonging to the group contained in the national collection of the British Museum, to which is appended a short account of two hitherto nondescript annulose animals of a larval character. *Journal of the Zoological Society of London* 10, 215–246.
- Day J.H. (1967) A monograph on the Polychaeta of Southern Africa. I. Errantia. Publication No. 656. London: British Museum (Natural History), 458 pp.
- Fauchald K. (1977) Polychaetes from intertidal areas in Panama, with a review of previous shallow-water records. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 221, 1–81.
- **Fauvel P.** (1923) *Polychètes errantes. Faune de France, Volume* 5. Paris: Librairie de la Faculté des Sciences Paul Lechevalier, 488 pp.
- Fauvel P. (1953) Annelida, Polychaeta. The fauna of India, including Pakistan, Ceylon, Burma and Malaya. Allahabad: The Indian Press, 507 pp.
- Gathof J.M. (1984) Family Amphinomidae. In Uebelacker J.M and Johnson P.G. (eds) *Taxonomic guide to the polychaetes of the Northern Gulf of the Mexico*, Volume 5. Mobile, AL: Barry A. Vittor and Associates, Inc., pp. 37.1–37.12.
- Hartman O. (1959) Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Part I. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications. Occasional Paper 23, 1–353.
- Hartman O. (1968) Atlas of the errantiate polychaetous annelids from California. University of Southern California, Los Angeles: Allan Hancock Foundation, 828 pp.

- Horst R. (1910) On the genus *Chloeia* with some new species from Malay Archipelago, partly collected by the Siboga-Expedition. *Notes from the Leyden Museum* 33, 169–175.
- Horst R. (1912) Polychaeta errantia of the Siboga-Expedition. Part 1. Amphinomidae. *Siboga-Expeditie Leyden* 24a, 1–43.
- Kirkegaard J.B. (2001) Deep sea polychaetes from north-west Africa, including a description of a new species of *Neopolynoe* (Polynoidae). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 81, 391–397.
- **Kudenov J.D.** (1991) A new family and genus of the order Amphinomida (Polychaeta) from the Galapagos hydrothermal vents. *Ophelia* Supplement 5, 111–120.
- Kudenov J.D. (1995) Amphinomidae Lamarck, 1818. In Blake J.A., Hilbig B. and Scott P.H. (eds) *Taxonomic atlas of the benthic fauna of the Santa Maria Basin and Western Santa Barbara Channel. Voume 5.* Santa Barbara, CA: Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, pp. 1–377.
- Lamarck J.B. (1818) Histoire Naturelle des Animaux Sans Vertébres. Paris: Deterville Libraire, Verdicre Libraire 5, pp. 411-612.
- McIntosh W.C. (1885) Report on the annelida polychaeta collected by the H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876. Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876 under the command of the Captain George S. Nares, R.N., F.R.S. and the Late Captain Frank Tourle Thomson, R.N. Zoology 12, 1-554.
- Monro C.C.A. (1933) The polychaeta errantia collected by Dr. C. Crossland at Colon, in the Panama region and the Galapagos Islands during the expedition of the S.Y. 'St. George'. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1, 1–96.
- Monro C.C.A. (1937) Polychaeta. The John Murray Expedition 1933-34 Scientific Reports, British Museum (Natural History) 4, 243-321.
- Nonato E.F. and Luna J.A.C. (1970) Anelídeos poliquetas do nordeste do Brasil: I. - poliquetas bentônicos da costa de Alagoas e Sergipe. *Boletim do Instituto Oceanográfico de São Paulo* 19, 57–130.
- **Probert P.K. and Grove S.L.** (1998) Macrobenthic assemblages of the continental shelf and upper slope off the west coast of South Island, New Zealand. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 28, 259–280.

and

Wiklund H., Nygren A., Pleijel F. and Sundberg P. (2008) The phylogenetic relationships between Amphinomidae, Archinomidae and Euphrosinidae (Amphinomida: Aciculata: Polychaeta), inferred from molecular data. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 88, 509–513.

# Correspondence should be addressed to:

R. Barroso

- Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
- Departamento de Zoologia

IB, CCS, Bl.A, SS 108

Ilha do Fundão, 21941-590, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil email: barroso.romulo@gmail.com